UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

JACQUELYN ZIMMERMAN,

Plaintiff,

Defendante

v. Case No: 8:21-cv-2155-CEH-SPF

ASSUREDPARTNERS, INC. and FIA LIQUIDATION COMPANY INC.,

Defendants.		

ORDER

This cause is before the Court upon review of the file. Plaintiff, Jacquelyn Zimmerman, proceeding *pro se*, brings this action against Defendants, Assured Partners, Inc. and FIA Liquidation Company, Inc. seeking injunctive and declaratory relief and statutory damages for alleged violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* ("TCPA") and the Florida Telephone Solicitation Act, Fla. Stat. § 501.059 ("FTSA"), as amended by Senate Bill No. 1120. In a four-count Complaint, Plaintiff alleges violations by Defendants of the TCPA (Counts I, II, and III) and the FTSA (Count IV). Doc. 1. Because Plaintiff's Complaint is a shotgun pleading, it is due to be dismissed, and Plaintiff will be given the opportunity to amend.

"A complaint that fails to articulate claims with sufficient clarity to allow the defendant to frame a responsive pleading constitutes a 'shotgun pleading.'" *Lampkin-Asam v. Volusia Cnty. Sch. Bd.*, 261 F. App'x 274, 277 (11th Cir. 2008) (citation

omitted). The Eleventh Circuit has identified four general types of shotgun pleadings. Weiland v. Palm Beach Cnty. Sheriff's Office, 792 F.3d 1313, 1322-23 (11th Cir. 2015). Relevant here, a complaint that contains "multiple counts where each count adopts the allegations of all preceding counts, causing each successive count to carry all that came before and the last count to be a combination of the entire complaint" constitutes a shotgun pleading. Id. at 1321. "The unifying characteristic of all types of shotgun pleadings is that they fail to one degree or another, and in one way or another, to give the defendants adequate notice of the claims against them and the grounds upon which each claim rests." Id. When faced with a shotgun pleading, a court should strike the complaint and instruct plaintiff to file a more definite statement. See Davis v. Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consol., 516 F.3d 955, 984 (11th Cir. 2008) (collecting cases), abrogated on other grounds by Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007). The Eleventh Circuit repeatedly condemns the use of shotgun pleadings for "imped[ing] the administration of the district courts' civil dockets." PVC Windows, Inc. v. Babbitbay Beach Constr., N.V., 598 F.3d 802, 806 n.4 (11th Cir. 2010). Shotgun pleadings require the district court to sift through allegations in an attempt to separate the meritorious claims from the unmeritorious, resulting in a "massive waste of judicial and private resources." Id. (citation omitted). Thus, the Eleventh Circuit has established that a shotgun pleading is an unacceptable form of establishing a claim for relief.

The Complaint here constitutes a shotgun pleading. Each of Plaintiff's counts incorporates all preceding paragraphs, including prior counts, resulting in the final count constituting a culmination of the entire Complaint. This form of pleading is

exactly the type repeatedly condemned by the Eleventh Circuit. Therefore, the Court

will dismiss the Complaint and grant Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint

which conforms with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the

Middle District of Florida. In filing an amended complaint, Plaintiff shall ensure that

she avoids shotgun pleading pitfalls and complies with applicable pleading

requirements, including Rule 8's requirement of a short and plain statement of the

claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff's Complaint (Doc. 1) is **DISMISSED** without prejudice as a

shotgun pleading.

2. Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint within TWENTY-

ONE (21) DAYS from the date of this Order, which must correct the deficiencies

discussed herein.

3. Failure to file an amended complaint within the time provided will result in

the dismissal of this action without prejudice and without further notice.

DONE AND ORDERED in Tampa, Florida on September 27, 2021.

Charlene Edwards Horeywell
Charlene Edwards Honeywell

United States District Judge

Copies to:

Jacquelyn Zimmerman, pro se

Counsel of Record

Unrepresented parties, if any

3